Valuation \mathbb{C}^{∞} -rings:

first steps

"C[∞] meets real closed" working group Friday 17th of October, 2025

Ricardo Jesús Palomino Piepenborn

Basic notions

Fix the following notation and conventions:

- If A is any ring, then A^{\times} is its multiplicative group of units.
- If A is a domain, then qf(A) is its quotient field, i.e., qf(A) is the field obtained as the localization of A by the multiplicate subset A \ {o} in the category of rings. Regard A as a subring of qf(A) in the canonical way.
- If $A \subseteq K$ is a subring of a field K, then regard $\operatorname{qf}(A)$ as a subfield of K in the canonical way by the universal property of $\operatorname{qf}(A)$. In particular, $A \subseteq \operatorname{qf}(A) \subseteq K$.

If $A\subseteq K$ is a subring of a field K, then A is a valuation ring of K if for all $b\in K^{\times}$, either $b\in A$ or $b^{-1}\in A$. An arbitrary ring A is a valuation ring if A is a domain and a valuation ring of qf(A). Note that if $A\subseteq K$ is a valuation ring of a field K, then qf(A)=K: if $b\in K^{\times}$, then either $b\in A$, in which case $b\in qf(A)$, or $b^{-1}\in A$, in which case $b\in qf(A)$ also follows.

If $A \subseteq K$ is a valuation ring of a field K, then the pair (K,A) is called a *valued field*. For a valued field (K,A), the quotient of multiplicative groups K^{\times}/A^{\times} is called the *value group* of (K,A) and it has the structure of a totally ordered abelian group by setting $b/A^{\times} \leqslant c/A^{\times}$ if and only if $cb^{-1} \in A$. Valuation rings are local rings. The *residue field* of a valued field (K,A) is A/m_A , where m_A is the unique maximal ideal of A. A standard reference for valued fields is [EP05].

A valued field (K,A) is Henselian if Hensel's lemma holds for (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) ; for equivalent characterizations of Henselian valued fields see [EP05, Theorem 4.1.3]. A valued field (K,A) is said to be of equicharacteristic 0 if both K and A/\mathfrak{m}_A are fields of characteristic 0.

Theorem (Ax-Kochen-Ershov theorem)

Let (K, A) and (L, B) be Henselian valued fields of equicharacteristic \circ . The following statements are equivalent:

- 1. $(K,A) \equiv (L,B)$ in the language $\mathscr{L}^{ring} \cup \{P\}$, where $\mathscr{L}^{ring} := \{+,-,\cdot,0,1\}$ and P is a unary predicate interpreted as the valuation ring of the valued field.
- 2. $A/\mathfrak{m}_A \equiv B/\mathfrak{m}_B$ in the language \mathscr{L}^{ring} and $K^\times/A^\times \equiv L^\times/B^\times$ in the language $\{+,-,0,\leqslant\}$ of ordered groups.

Proof

Folklore; see for instance [vdD14, Theorem 5.1]. Note that this theorem is usually phrased for valued fields regarded as three-sorted structures (e.g. [vdD14, Theorem 5.11], [KC90, Theorem 5.4.12], or [d'E23, Theorem 1.15]). The statement of this theorem follows from the three-sorted version due to the fact that there exists a uniform bi-interpretation without parameters from the theory of valued fields in the language $\mathcal{L}^{\text{ring}} \cup \{P\}$ to the theory of valued fields in the three-sorted language, therefore $(K,A) \equiv (L,B)$ if and only if their corresponding three-sorted structures are elementary equivalent in the three-sorted language.

000

Definition

Let **k** be a field and Γ be a totally ordered abelian group. Define $k((\Gamma)) := k((x^{\Gamma}))$ to be the set of formal series $a = \sum a_{\gamma} x^{\gamma} := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_{\gamma} x^{\gamma}$ such that supp $(a) := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid a_{\gamma} \neq 0 \}$ is a well-ordered subset of Γ.

Theorem (Exercise 3.5.6 and Remark 4.1.8 in [EPo5])

Let **k** be a field and Γ be a totally ordered abelian group.

1. The set $k(\Gamma)$ endowed with the operations of pointwise addition and Cauchy product of formal series

$$\sum a_{\gamma} x^{\gamma} + \sum b_{\gamma} x^{\gamma} := \sum (a_{\gamma} + b_{\gamma}) x^{\gamma}$$

and

$$\left(\sum a_{\gamma}x^{\gamma}\right)\left(\sum b_{\gamma}x^{\gamma}\right):=\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\sum_{\delta+\epsilon=\gamma}(a_{\delta}b_{\epsilon})x^{\gamma},$$

respectively, is a field called Hahn field.

- 2. The set $k[[\Gamma]] := k((x^{\Gamma \geqslant \circ})) \subset k((\Gamma))$ is a valuation ring of $k((\Gamma))$.
- 3. The value group of $(\mathbf{k}((\Gamma)), \mathbf{k}[[\Gamma]])$ is Γ and its residue field is \mathbf{k} .
- 4. The valued field $(\mathbf{k}((\Gamma)), \mathbf{k}[[\Gamma]])$ is Henselian.

Equivalent characterizations of real closed valuation rings

Definition

A ring is a real closed valuation ring if it is both a valuation ring and a real closed ring.

Theorem (Theorem 3.1.4 in [Pie24])

Let A be a ring. The following are equivalent:

- 1. A is a real closed valuation ring.
- 2. A is a domain, qf(A) is a real closed field, and A is convex in qf(A).
- 3. A is a valuation ring, and both qf(A) and A/m_A are real closed fields.
- A is a totally ordered domain which satisfies the intermediate value property for polynomials in one variable.
- 5. A is a totally ordered domain which satisfies the following conditions:
 - a) For all $a, b \in A$, if 0 < a < b, then there exists $c \in A$ such that bc = a.
 - b) Every positive element has a square root.
 - c) Every monic polynomial of odd degree has a root.
- 6. A is a local real closed SV-ring of rank 1.

Proposition (Proposition 2.1.7 (a) and Proposition 2.2.4 in [KS22])

Let K be a totally ordered field. Then any convex subring of K is a valuation ring of K. In particular, if $B \subseteq K$ is any subring, then the convex hull of B in K is a convex valuation ring of K.

Real closed valued fields

Definition

A real closed valued field is a valued field (K, A) where A is a real closed valuation ring (equivalently, K is a real closed field and A is convex in K).

Example

Let k be a real closed field and Γ be a divisible totally ordered abelian group. Then $(k(\Gamma)), k[\Gamma])$ is a real closed valued field. The converse also holds; see [AvdDvdH17, Example in p. 177].

Corollary

Let (K, A) be a Henselian valued field of equicharacteristic 0. If the residue field $k := A/\mathfrak{m}_A$ is a real closed field and the value group $\Gamma := K^{\times}/A^{\times}$ is divisible, then K is a real closed field and A is a convex in K.

Sketch of proof

Since $(k((\Gamma)), k[[\Gamma]])$ is a Henselian valued field, the Ax-Kochen-Ershov theorem implies that $(K,A) \equiv (k((\Gamma)), k[[\Gamma]])$ in the language of $\mathscr{L}^{ring} \cup \{P\}$. Since the theory of real closed valued fields is axiomatizable in the language $\mathscr{L}^{ring} \cup \{P\}$ and $(k((\Gamma)), k[[\Gamma]])$ is a real closed valued field, the statement follows.

Two notions of valuation rings in the category of $\mathcal{C}^\infty\text{-rings}$

Fix the following notation and conventions:

- $\bullet \ \ A \ C^{\infty}\text{-reduced} \ C^{\infty}\text{-domain is a} \ C^{\infty}\text{-ring} \ C^{\infty}\text{-isomorphic to a} \ C^{\infty}\text{-subring of a} \ C^{\infty}\text{-field}.$
- If A is a C[∞]-reduced C[∞]-domain, then k(A) is its quotient field in the category of C[∞]-rings, i.e., k(A) is the C[∞]-field obtained as the localization of A by the multiplicative subset A \ {o} in the category of C[∞]-rings. Regard A as a C[∞]-subring of k(A) in the canonical way, and in particular A ⊆ qf(A) ⊆ k(A) by the universal property of qf(A) in the category of rings.

Definition

Let A be a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring.

- 1. A is a valuation \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring if it(s underlying ring) is a valuation ring.
- 2. A is a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -valuation \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring if A is a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -reduced \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring and (its underlying ring is) a valuation ring of k(A).

Remark

Let A be a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring.

- 1. If A is a valuation \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring, then in particular A is a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -domain, but it might not be \mathbb{C}^{∞} -reduced. For example, $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ (= $\mathbb{R}[[z]]$) is a valuation \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring which is not \mathbb{C}^{∞} -reduced (see example 1 in the proof of [BK18, Proposition 1]).
- 2. If A is a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -valuation \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring, then A is a valuation \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring.

A characterization of real closed valuation \mathbb{C}^{∞} -rings

Lemma

Let A be a valuation \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring. Then $(\operatorname{qf}(A),A)$ is a Henselian valued field of equicharacteristic o with real closed residue field.

Proof

Since A is a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring, A is an \mathbb{R} -algebra, therefore $\operatorname{qf}(A)$ has characteristic o. Since A is a local ring, it is Henselian by [MR86, Theorem 3.22] and its residue field is real closed because it is a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -field ([MR91, Proposition 1.2]) and \mathbb{C}^{∞} -fields are real closed ([MR86, Theorem 2.10]).

Theorem (P.P.)

Let A be a valuation C^{∞} -ring. Then A is a real closed ring if and only if its value group $\Gamma := qf(A)^{\times}/A^{\times}$ is divisible

Sketch of proof

If A is a real closed ring, then $\operatorname{qf}(A)$ is a real closed field. In particular, since monic polynomials of odd degree have roots in $\operatorname{qf}(A)$, it follows that the multiplicative group $K^{>0}$ is divisible. Since the restriction of the surjective group homomorphism $\operatorname{qf}^{\times}(A) \longrightarrow \Gamma$ to $K^{>0}$ is surjective, it follows that Γ is divisible. Suppose now that Γ is divisible. Then $(\operatorname{qf}(A),A)$ is a Henselian valued field of equicharacteristic O with real closed residue field and divisible value group, therefore by a previous corollary $\operatorname{qf}(A)$ is real closed and A is convex in $\operatorname{qf}(A)$, from which it follows that A is a real closed (valuation) ring.

Constructing real closed valuation \mathbb{C}^{∞} -rings

Proposition (P.P.)

Let $\mathcal{L}^{\infty} := \{f \mid f \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}\}\$ be the language of \mathbb{C}^{∞} -rings. Let (K, Φ_K) be a proper elementary extension of the \mathbb{C}^{∞} -field $(\mathbb{R}, \Phi_{\mathbb{R}})$ in the language \mathscr{L}^{∞} . Then the convex hull of \mathbb{R} in K,

$$\mathrm{c.h.}_K(\mathbb{R}) := \{a \in K \mid \exists r \in \mathbb{R}^{\geqslant 0} \text{ such that } -r \leqslant a \leqslant r\},$$

is a real closed \mathbb{C}^{∞} -valuation \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring which is not a field.

Proof

Since K is a real closed field and $A := c.h._K(\mathbb{R})$ is a convex subring of K, A is a real closed valuation ring. Since K a proper elementary extension of the real closed field \mathbb{R} , K contains elements which are not bounded by \mathbb{R} , therefore $A \neq K$.

To show that A is a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring it suffices to show that $\Phi_{K}(f)(\overline{a}) \in A$ for every n-ary $f \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}$ $(n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ and every $\bar{a} \in A^n$, as in this case, setting $\Phi_A(f) := \Phi_K(f)_{fA^n}$ yields a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -structure on A. In this way, A becomes a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -subring of the \mathbb{C}^{∞} -field K.

Pick and *n*-ary function $f \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}$ $(n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ and $\overline{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$. Since $\overline{a} \in A^n$, there exist $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in \mathbb{R}^{\geqslant 0}$ such that $-r_i \leqslant a_i \leqslant r_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Because $f : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, the image of the closed and bounded box $[-r_1, r_1] \times ... \times [-r_n, r_n] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ under fis bounded.

Constructing real closed valuation \mathbb{C}^{∞} -rings

Proof (continued)

In other words, there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}^{\geqslant 0}$ such that

$$\forall x_1 \dots x_n \in \mathbb{R} \left[\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n -r_i \leqslant x_i \leqslant r_i \right) \to -s \leqslant f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leqslant s \right].$$

0000

Recall that \mathscr{L}^{∞} contains constants for all elements in \mathbb{R} . In particular, since \mathbb{R} is a real closed field, the above statement about $\mathbb R$ and f can be expressed by the $\mathscr L^\infty$ -sentence ϕ

$$\forall x_1 \dots x_n \left[\exists y_1 \dots y_n \exists z_1 \dots z_n \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i + r_i = y_i^2 \wedge (r_i - x_i) = z_i^2 \right) \rightarrow \\ \exists v \exists w (f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + s = v^2 \wedge (s - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = w^2) \right].$$

Since $(\mathbb{R}, \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}) \models \varphi$ and $(\mathbb{R}, \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}) \prec (K, \Phi_K)$, it follows that $(K, \Phi_K) \models \varphi$. Since K is a real closed field and $\bar{a} \in A^n \subset K^n$ satisfies $-r_i \le a_i \le r_i$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, it follows that $(K, \Phi_K) \models -s \leqslant \Phi_K(f)(\bar{a}) \leqslant s$, therefore $\Phi_K(f)(\bar{a}) \in A$, as required.

In particular, (A, Φ_A) is a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -subring of the \mathbb{C}^{∞} -field (K, Φ_K) , therefore it is a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -reduced \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring. Since A is a valuation ring of K, it follows that $K = \mathfrak{qf}(A)$, therefore $\mathfrak{qf}(A)$ is a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -field and thus $\operatorname{qf}(A) = K = k(A)$ by the universal property of the quotient field k(A) of A in the category if \mathbb{C}^{∞} -rings. Therefore A is a \mathbb{C}^{∞} -valuation \mathbb{C}^{∞} -ring, concluding the proof.

References I



Matthias Aschenbrenner, Lou van den Dries, and Joris van der Hoeven.

Asymptotic differential algebra and model theory of transseries, volume 195 of Annals of Mathematics Studies.

Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2017.



Dennis Borisov and Kobi Kremnizer.

Beyond perturbation 1: de rham spaces.

Journal of Geometry and Physics, 124:208-224, 2018.



Christian d'Elbée.

The ax-kochen-ershov theorem, 2023.



Antonio Engler and Alexander Prestel.

Valued fields.

Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.



References II



H Jerome Keisler and Chen-Chung Chang.

Model theory.

North-Holland Amsterdam, 1990.



Manfred Knebusch and Claus Scheiderer.

Real algebra.

A First Course, 2022.



I. Moerdijk and G. E. Reyes.

Rings of smooth functions and their localizations I.

J. Algebra, 99(2):324-336, 1986.



Ieke Moerdijk and Gonzalo E. Reyes.

Models for Smooth Infinitesimal Analysis.

Springer-Verlag, New York / Berlin, 1991.



Ricardo Palomino Piepenborn.

Model theory of local real closed sv-rings of finite rank, 2024.



References III



Lou van den Dries.

Lectures on the model theory of valued fields.

In Model Theory in Algebra, Analysis and Arithmetic: Cetraro, Italy 2012, Editors: H. Dugald Macpherson, Carlo Toffalori, pages 55-157. Springer, 2014.